Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium of CO₂/toluene, CO₂/ndecane and CO₂/toluene/n-decane: Experimental Measurements and Thermodynamic Modeling

<u>F.P. Nascimento^a</u>, M.L.L. Paredes^a, K.M. Souza^b, M.S. Santos^b, F.L.P. Pessoa^{*,b}
 ^a Instituto de Química, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Campus Maracanã, Rua São Francisco Xavier, 524, Maracanã, CEP 20550-900, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil
 ^b Escola de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Campus Ilha do Fundão, Av. Athos da Silveira Ramos, 149, Ilha do Fundão, CEP 21941-909, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil
 E-mail: pessoa@eq.ufrj.br & Fax: +55 21 3938 7567

ABSTRACT

Experimental vapor-liquid equilibrium data are reported in the present work for binary systems CO₂/toluene and CO₂/n-decane as well as for the ternary system CO₂/toluene/n-decane. The measurements were carried out in a high-pressure variable volume cell used to perform phase transition measurements by visual synthetic method. All systems were measured at temperatures of (313.2 and 353.2) K with carbon dioxide composition ranging from 0.2 to 0.8 mole fraction. The equilibrium data for the binary systems were used to estimate the cross interactions parameters of the Peng-Robinson equation of state combined with the quadratic mixing rule. The cross interaction parameter for the binary toluene/n-decane was estimated from data available in the open literature. In order to evaluate the capability of the binary parameters in predicting the ternary vapor-liquid equilibrium data, binary parameters were used to calculate the bubble pressure of the ternary mixture. For all evaluated systems the Peng-Robinson model correlated well the bubble pressure data.

INTRODUCTION

The discovery of oil reservoirs in the pre-salt layer has opened new perspectives for the Brazilian economy. In deep waters, with high levels of carbon dioxide and subjected to high-pressures and temperatures, oil exploration in the pre-salt layer is a considerable technological challenge. In petroleum recovery, carbon dioxide can be used to improve oil production where CO_2 is used to displace oil from the reservoir. Therefore knowledge of phase equilibrium data for CO_2 /hydrocarbon systems is essential for studying the thermodynamic behavior in these oil fields [1,2].

In petroleum research, n-decane is a reference paraffinic compound used to represent kerosene fractions [3] while toluene is a typical naphta molecule [4]. In this context, experimental vapor-liquid equilibrium (VLE) data are reported in the present work for binary systems CO_2 /toluene and CO_2 /n-decane as well as for the ternary system CO_2 /toluene/n-decane at temperatures of (313.2 and 353.2) K to simulate the thermodynamic behavior of kerosene and naphtha fractions. These substances are asymmetrical in shape, length and chemical nature.

There are several works in literature with VLE data for CO_2 /toluene [5,6,7] and CO_2 /n-decane [2,8,9] systems at temperatures close to those reported in this work. In the present work, the experimental procedure and apparatus were validated comparing measured CO_2 /toluene VLE data at (313.2 and 353.2) K with those reported by Naidoo

et al. [7], Ng and Robinson [5] and Walther et al. [6]. For binary system toluene/ndecane Willman and Teja [10] reported VLE data at 373.5 K. No VLE data for CO_2 /toluene/n-decane system available in the open literature is of our knowledge. The binary VLE data were used to estimate the cross interactions parameters of the Peng-Robinson [11] model combined with the quadratic mixing rule [11,12], allowing the correlation of binary and ternary mixture data.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental section

The suppliers and weight fraction purities of the chemicals used in the study are shown in table 1. All chemicals were used without further purification. The vapor-liquid equilibrium measurements were carried out in a high-pressure variable volume cell used to perform phase transition measurements by visual static synthetic method. The highpressure equilibrium apparatus was previously described in Rocha et al. [13].

| Table 1: Suppliers and purity of chemicals used in the study. | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| Chemical | Supplier | Purity | Purification | | |
| | | (mass fraction) | Method | | |
| CO_2 | Linde Gases | >0.999 | No further | | |
| Toluene | Tedia Company | >0.995 | purification | | |
| n-Decane | Vetec Química Fina | >0.99 | | | |

 Table 1: Suppliers and purity of chemicals used in the study.

The mixtures were prepared weighting a desired mass of each pure hydrocarbon using an analytical balance (Mettler Toledo AR2140) with a precision of $\pm 1.10^{-4}$ g. The estimated uncertainty in the mole fractions was $\pm 2.10^{-4}$.

The mixture of interest is transferred to the equilibrium cell with a funnel. The equilibrium cell is sealed and primary vacuum (low vacuum) is rapidly produced in the system to minimize the presence of air. Carbon dioxide was added to the reaction vessel with a syringe pump (Teledyne ISCO 260D). The syringe pump was thermostatically controlled by bath to maintain the temperature at 288.2 K and operated in constant pressure mode at 100 bar. At these conditions the density of CO_2 is 0.89010 g/cm³ [14]. Therefore, the mass of CO_2 transferred from the syringe pump to the high-pressure equilibrium cell can be quantified.

The equilibrium cell is wrapped with a heating tape and the system is heated to the desired temperature and pressurized until the gas and hydrocarbons form a single phase, by displacing the cell's steel piston with the syringe pump loaded with carbon dioxide as hydraulic fluid. The equilibrium cell is rested for 15 minutes, time necessary for equilibrium establishment, then the pressure is slowly decreased until incipient formation of a new phase, characterized by the appearance of small vapor bubbles in the cell.

Modeling

In thermodynamics an equation of state is a relation between state variables [15]. Cubic equations are the simplest equations able to represent the behavior of both liquids and vapors [16]. The Peng-Robinson (PR) model (Equation (1)) is a cubic equation of state that combines simplicity and accuracy and can be used to predict the vapor

pressure and volumetric behavior of single-component and multicomponent systems [11]:

$$P = \frac{RT}{V - b} - \frac{a}{V(V + b) + b(V - b)}$$
(1)

where *P* is pressure, *R* is the universal gas constant, *T* is temperature and *V* is the molar volume. The parameters *b* and *a* are defined as (Equations (2)-(6)):

$$b = 0.07780 \frac{RT_c}{P_c}$$
⁽²⁾

$$a = a_c \alpha(T, \omega) \tag{3}$$

$$a_{c} = 0.45724 \frac{R^{2} T_{c}^{2}}{P_{c}}$$
(4)

$$\alpha(T,\omega) = \left[1 + \kappa \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{T}{T_c}}\right)\right] \tag{5}$$

$$\kappa = 0.37464 + 1.54226\omega - 0.26992\omega^2 \tag{6}$$

where P_c is critical pressure, T_c is critical temperature and ω the acentric factor. The physical property information (P_c , T_c and ω) used for the pure components is taken from Poling et al. [17] and are presented in table 2.

| Table 2. Pute component parameters for Peng-Koomson model. | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------------|----------|--|--|
| Component | P_c /bar | T_c/K | ω | | |
| CO ₂ | 73.74 | 304.12 | 0.225 | | |
| Toluene | 41.08 | 591.75 | 0.264 | | |
| n-Decane | 21.10 | 617.70 | 0.490 | | |

Table 2: Pure component parameters for Peng-Robinson model.

For mixtures the following quadratic mixing rules (Equations (7)-(8)) were used [11,12]:

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_i x_j \sqrt{a_i a_j} \left(1 - k_{ij} \right)$$
(7)

$$b = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i b_i \tag{8}$$

where *n* indicates the number of components in mixture and k_{ij} is a binary interaction parameter. To a good approximation, k_{ij} is considered independent of temperature, pressure and composition. In general, k_{ij} must be obtained from some experimental information about the binary interaction [12,18].

The deviations between experimental and calculated values of bubble pressure were calculated as follows (Equation (9)):

(9)

Deviation =
$$BP^{exp} - BP^{calc}$$

where *BP* is the bubble pressure, superscripts *calc* and *exp* stand for calculated and experimental, respectively.

The root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) was calculated using Equation (10):

$$RMSD = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(BP_i^{exp} - BP_i^{calc} \right)^2}{n}}$$
(10)

where i indicates an experimental point and n indicates the number of experimental points.

The least squares method has been used to fit the values of the binary interaction parameters in order to minimize the following objective function (Equation (11)):

$$\operatorname{Fobj} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(BP_{i}^{exp} - BP_{i}^{calc} \right)^{2}$$
(11)

RESULTS

Tables 3 and 4 presents a comparison between physical properties of CO₂, toluene and n-decane obtained in this work and from the literature. Density at atmospheric pressure was obtained using an automatic digital densimeter (Anton Paar DMA 4500) calibrated with air and bi-distilled water and presenting an estimated standard uncertainty of $2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ g/cm³. The refractive index was measured using a ABBE refractometer. The refractometer was calibrated by measuring the refractive index of bi-distilled water and ethanol. The uncertainty in refractive index measurements is 0.0002. Saturation pressure of pure CO₂ was measured in the high-pressure equilibrium apparatus. The standard uncertainty in the temperature is 0.1 K and 1 bar for pressure [13]. There is a very good agreement between our data and those from literature.

Table 3: Comparison between saturation pressure for CO₂ obtained in this work and from literature.

| Temperature/K | Pressure/bar | |
|---------------|--------------|------------|
| | This work | Literature |
| 301.5 | 69.3 | 69.5 [14] |

Table 4: Comparison between pure components density and refractive index for toluene and n-decane obtained in this work and from literature.

| Temperature/K | Density/(g/cm ³) | | Refractive Index | |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|
| | This work | Literature | This work | Literature |
| | | Toluene | | |
| 293.2 | 0.86662 | 0.86683 [19] | 1.4976 | 1.4970 [22] |
| | | 0.866733 [20] | | |
| | | n-Decane | | |
| 293.2 | 0.73006 | 0.73012 [21] | 1.4120 | 1.41234 [21] |

Table 5 presents detailed results of VLE data obtained in this work for binary systems CO_2/n -decane and CO_2/t oluene at temperatures of (313.2 and 353.2) K. All observed phase transitions showed a bubble pressure behavior.

| / | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| CO ₂ (1)/n-decane(2) | | | | $CO_2(1)/toluene(2)$ | | | |
| K | T/353.2 | K | T/313.2 | Κ | T/353.2 | K | |
| x_1 | P/bar | <i>x</i> 1 | P/bar | x_1 | P/bar | <i>x</i> 1 | |
| 0.2114 | 29.2 | 0.2114 | 24.2 | 0.1999 | 33.9 | 0.1999 | |
| 0.4005 | 57.0 | 0.4005 | 42.9 | 0.3996 | 66.3 | 0.3996 | |
| 0.5986 | 87.5 | 0.5986 | 56.5 | 0.5995 | 94.9 | 0.5995 | |
| 0.7997 | 127.2 | 0.7997 | 70.3 | 0.8001 | 124.1 | 0.8001 | |
| | | $\begin{array}{c c} \hline CO_2(1)/n\text{-decaner}\\ \hline K & T/353.2\\ \hline x_1 & P/bar\\ \hline 0.2114 & 29.2\\ 0.4005 & 57.0\\ 0.5986 & 87.5\\ 0.7997 & 127.2\\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c c} \hline \text{CO}_2(1)/\text{n-decane}(2) \\ \hline \text{K} & \text{T/353.2 K} \\ \hline x_1 & \text{P/bar} & x1 \\ \hline 0.2114 & 29.2 & 0.2114 \\ \hline 0.4005 & 57.0 & 0.4005 \\ \hline 0.5986 & 87.5 & 0.5986 \\ \hline 0.7997 & 127.2 & 0.7997 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ |

Table 5: Experimental bubble pressures for binary systems $[CO_2/n\text{-decane}]$ and $[CO_2/t\text{-oluene}]$, at T = (313.2 and 353.2) K.

For CO₂/toluene, figure 1 shows that the experimental bubble pressures are in good agreement with those from literature [5,6,7] at (313.2 and 353.2) K. Figure 1 also presents the calculated values with Peng-Robinson model for these systems. The calculated sets of data are in good agreement with the experimental data.

For CO₂/n-decane, figure 2 shows experimental bubble pressures compared to those from literature [2,8,9] at (313.2 and 353.2) K as well as the calculated values with Peng-Robinson model. Again, the calculated sets of data are in good agreement with the experimental data.



Figure 1: VLE data for $CO_2(1)/toluene(2)$: \circ , (313.2 K), \bullet , (353.2 K), this work; \triangle , (311.15 K), \blacktriangle , (353.15 K), Naidoo et al. [7]; \Box , (311.3 K), \blacksquare , (352.6 K), Ng and Robinson [5]; \blacklozenge , (352.0 K), Walther et al. [6]. Lines were calculated with PR model using coefficients given in table 6, at: ____, 313.2 K; ____, 353.2 K.



Figure 2: VLE data for $CO_2(1)/n$ -decane(2): \circ , (313.2 K), \bullet , (353.2 K), this work; \triangle , (319.11 K), \blacktriangle , (344.74 K), Jiménez-Gallegos et al. [2]; \Box , (310.9 K), \blacksquare , (344.3 K), Reamer and Sage [8]; \diamond , (318.1 K), \bullet , (348.1 K), Zamudio et al. [9]. Lines were calculated with PR model using coefficients given in table 6, at: ____, 313.2 K; ..., 353.2 K.

The fitted values for the binary interaction parameters are summarized in table 6. The bubble pressure data obtained in this work were used to estimate the binary interaction parameters for systems CO_2 /toluene and CO_2 /n-decane. For system toluene/n-decane the bubble pressure data reported by Willman and Teja [10] at 373.5 K were used.

Figure 3 presents a comparison between experimental VLE data obtained from Willman and Teja [10] at 373.5 K and calculated values with Peng-Robinson model for toluene/n-decane system. As one can see, the proposed model correlated well the experimental data.

 Table 6: Binary interaction parameters for Peng-Robinson model.

| Binary system | k_{12} |
|------------------------|---------|
| $CO_2(1)/toluene(2)$ | 0.0789 |
| $CO_2(1)/n$ -decane(2) | 0.0951 |
| toluene(1)/n-decane(2) | -0.0176 |



Figure 3: VLE data for toluene(1)/n-decane(2) at 373.5 K: ■, Willman and Teja [10]. Dark and open symbols denote the vapor and liquid phases, respectively. Lines were calculated with PR model using coefficients given in table 6 at 373.5 K.

Table 7 presents detailed results of VLE data obtained in this work for system CO_2 /toluene/n-decane at temperatures of (313.2 and 353.2) K. Again, all observed phase transitions showed the same behavior of a bubble point transition.

| (515.2 and 5.2 and | 33.2) K. | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|--|
| Т/313.2 К | | | Т/353.2 К | | | |
| P/bar | x_1 | <i>x</i> ₂ | P/bar | x_1 | <i>x</i> ₂ | |
| 19.3 | 0.1997 | 0.4026 | 27.5 | 0.1997 | 0.4026 | |
| 38.3 | 0.3999 | 0.3019 | 57.2 | 0.3999 | 0.3019 | |
| 55.5 | 0.6007 | 0.2009 | 89.5 | 0.6007 | 0.2009 | |
| 68.9 | 0.7993 | 0.1015 | 123.1 | 0.7993 | 0.1015 | |
| 16.9 | 0.1890 | 0.2602 | 24.6 | 0.1890 | 0.2602 | |
| 39.0 | 0.4016 | 0.1920 | 59.1 | 0.4016 | 0.1920 | |
| 55.5 | 0.5933 | 0.1305 | 89.4 | 0.5933 | 0.1305 | |
| 70.2 | 0.8002 | 0.0679 | 125.1 | 0.8002 | 0.0679 | |
| 27.4 | 0.2993 | 0.4634 | 41.8 | 0.2993 | 0.4634 | |
| 42.4 | 0.4598 | 0.3573 | 67.7 | 0.4598 | 0.3573 | |
| 58.2 | 0.6504 | 0.2312 | 99.0 | 0.6504 | 0.2312 | |
| 67.7 | 0.8003 | 0.1334 | 125.5 | 0.8003 | 0.1334 | |

Table 7: Experimental bubble pressures for ternary system $[CO_2(1)/toluene(2)/n-decane(3)]$, at T = (313.2 and 353.2) K.

The deviations in bubble pressure between experimental and calculated values for all systems are shown in figures 4 and 5. The calculated RMSD was of 2.6 bar, which is higher than the experimental standard uncertainty for pressure, estimated as 1 bar. As one can see, for temperatures of (313.2 and 353.2) K the calculated data sets using the Peng-Robinson model with quadratic mixing rules provided positive deviations when carbon dioxide composition was lower than 0.5 mole fraction and negative deviations for higher compositions of CO₂. The calculated data sets for ternary system shows a tendency to superestimate the bubble pressure, especially at compositions close to the critical point of this mixture. This could be to the fact that only one binary interaction parameter, independent of temperature, pressure and composition could not represent all system non-ideality.



Figure 4: Deviations between experimental and calculated bubble pressures at 313.2 K: \diamond , CO₂(1)/n-decane(2); \bigtriangleup , CO₂(1)/toluene(2); \Box , CO₂(1)/toluene(2)/n-decane(3).

Figure 5: Deviations between experimental and calculated bubble pressures at 353.2 K: \diamond , $CO_2(1)/n$ -decane(2); \triangle , $CO_2(1)/t$ oluene(2); \square , $CO_2(1)/t$ oluene(2)/n-decane(3).

CONCLUSION

In this work we have experimentally determined bubble pressure data for the binary systems CO_2 /toluene and CO_2 /n-decane and for the ternary system CO_2 /toluene/n-decane. Data are reported at T = (313.2 and 353.2) K with carbon dioxide composition ranging from 0.2 to 0.8 mole fraction. The experimental results were compared to those available in open literature showing a good agreement.

The experimental data of binary systems were used to estimate the cross interaction parameters for the Peng-Robinson model allowing the correlation of binary and ternary mixture data. Although the proposed model with only one cross interaction parameter independent of temperature, pressure and composition for each binary mixture failed to correlate the experimental bubble pressure dependency with composition, as a qualitative model the Peng-Robinson equation correlated well the bubble pressure data for all evaluated systems.

REFERENCES

[1] CHOU, G.F., FORBERT, R.R., PRAUSNITZ, J.M., J. Chem. Eng. Data, Vol. 35, 1990, p. 26

[2] JIMÉNEZ-GALLEGOS, R., GALICIA-LUNA, L.A., ELIZALDE-SOLIS, O., J. Chem. Eng. Data, Vol. 51, 2006, p. 1624

[3] WANG, Q.D., FANG, Y.M., WANG, F., LI, X.Y., Proceedings of the Combustion Institute, Vol. 34, **2013**, p. 187

[4] SILVA, A.A., REIS, R.A., PAREDES, M.L.L., J. Chem. Eng. Data, Vol. 54, 2009, p. 2067

[5] NG, H.J., ROBINSON, D.B., J. Chem. Eng. Data, Vol. 23, 1978, p. 325

[6] WALTHER, D., PLATZER, B., MAURER, G., J. Chem. Thermodynamics, Vol. 24, 1992, p. 387

[7] NAIDOO, P., RAMJUGERNATH, D., RAAL, J.D., Fluid Phase Equilibria, Vol. 269, 2008, p. 104

[8] REAMER, H.H., SAGE, B.H., J. Chem. Eng. Data, Vol. 8, 1963, p. 508

[9] ZAMUDIO, M., SCHWARZ, C.E., KNOETZE, J.H., J. of Supercritical Fluids, Vol. 59, 2011, p. 14

[10] WILLMAN, B., TELA, A.S., J. Chem. Eng. Data, Vol. 30, 1985, p. 116

[11] PENG, D.Y., ROBINSON, D.B., Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam., Vol. 15, 1976, p. 59

[12] CHUEH, P.L., PRAUSNITZ, J.M., Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam., Vol. 6, 1967, p. 492

[13] ROCHA, I.C.C., FIALHO, R.L., MARQUES, J.J., DARIVA, C., PESSOA, F.L.P., J. Chem. Thermodynamics, Vol. 65, **2013**, p. 11

[14] LEMMON, E.W., MCLINDEN, M.O., FRIEND, D.G., NIST Chemistry WebBook, Num. 69, Accessed January 9, 2014, http://webbook.nist.gov

[15] PERROT, P., A to Z of Thermodynamics, 1998

[16] SMITH, J.M., VAN NESS, H.C., ABBOTT, M.M., Introdução à Termodinâmica da Engenharia Química, 7a Edição, 2007

[17] POLING, B.E., PRAUSNITZ, J.M., O'CONNELL, J.P., The Properties of Gases and Liquids, 5th Edition, 2001

[18] ZUDKEVITCH, D., JOFFE, J., AIChE Journal, Vol. 16, 1970, p. 112

[19] HALES, J.L., TOWNSEND, R., J. Chem. Thermodynamics, Vol. 4, 1972, p. 763

[20] SOMMER, D., KLEINRAHM, R., SPAN, R., WAGNER, W., J. Chem. Thermodynamics, Vol. 43, 2011, p. 117

[21] PAREDES, M.L.L., REIS, R.A., SILVA, A.A., SANTOS, R.N.G., SANTOS, G.J., RIBEIRO, M.H.A., XIMANGO, P.B., J. Chem. Thermodynamics, Vol. 45, **2012**, p. 35

[22] NAIN, A.K., J. Chem. Eng. Data, Vol. 53, 2008, p. 850